*Journal of Organometallic Chemistq, S2* **(1971) 397-415 0 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne - Printed in The Netherlands** 

### **THE STEREOSPECIFICITY 4T THE IRON CENTER OF THE DECARBONYLATION OF AN IRON-ACETYL COMPLEX**

**THOMAS G. ATTIG and ANDREW WOJCICKI** 

**The McPherson Chemical Laboratory, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio** *43310 (U.S.-l.)* 

**(Received July 3rd. 197-t)** 

#### Summary

The dinuclear complex  $[(h^3-1-CH_3-3-C_6H_5C_5H_3)Fe(CO)_2]$ , was synthesized by reaction of  $Fe_2(CO)$ , with 1-methyl-3-phenylcyclopentadiene; it was converted to  $(h^3-1\text{-CH}_3-3\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_3)$ Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> by reduction with sodium amalgam and addition of CH<sub>3</sub>l, and thence to  $(h^3-1-CH_3-3-C_6H_5C_5H_3)Fe(CO)$ - $[ P(C_6H_3)_3]$  (COCH<sub>3</sub>) (I) by reaction with  $P(C_6H_3)_3$ . The acetyl I was separated into two diastereomerically related pairs of enantiomers, Ia and Ib, by a combination of column chromatography on alumina and crystallization from benzene/pentane. The photochemical decarbonylation of Ia and Ib in benzene or THF solution was examined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. This reaction proceeds with high stereospecificity  $(> 84\%$  retention or inversion) at the iron center to yield  $(h^5-1\text{-}CH_3\text{-}G_6H_3C_5H_3)Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_3)_3]CH_3(II)$ , enriched in the diastereomerically related pairs of enantiomers, ITa and IIb, respectively. Since IIa **and IIb epimerize** under the photolytic conditions of decarbonylation, the actual stereospecificity of the conversion of I to II is higher than 84%, and likely 100% This is supported by the data from kinetic studies of the decarbonylation of I and the epimerization of II, carried out under identical photolytic conditions. The implications of the foregoing results to the mechanism of thedecarbonylation are considered. Also described herein is the synthesis of other complexes with two asymmetric centers of the general formula  $(h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)(COR) and  $(h^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)R that contain either an unsymmetrically substituted  $h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl ring or a chiral tertiary phosphine.

### **Introduction**

In recent years the study of insertion and elimination reactions in organometallic chemistry has evolved largely as a result of the demonstrated importance of these processes in numerous catalytic systems, e.g. the 0x0 reaction, the polymerization of oiefins and catalytic hydrogenation. Some of the most widely investigated insertion reactions have been the carbonylation and the decarbonylation of transition metal—alkyl and —acyl complexes, respectively [1].

Studies have been conducted of the mechanism of the CO insertion reaction of several types of transition metal-alkyl complexes with nucleophiles such as CO, tertiary phosphines, phosphites and amines [2-lo]. The stereochemistry of CO insertion and decarbonylation has also been estensively investigated. The decarbonylation of cis- $(CH_1CO)$ Mn( $^1$ <sup>3</sup>CO)(CO), was shown to proceed by a "methyl migration" mechanism  $[11]$ . A retention of configuration at the  $\alpha$  carbon of the alkyl moiety has been observed both in the carbonylation of *erythro-h*<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>- $Fe(CO)$ , CHDCHDC(CH<sub>3</sub>), with P(C<sub>o</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), and in the photochemical decarbonylation of erythro-h<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) $_{3}$ ] [COCHDCHDC(CH<sub>3</sub>) $_{3}$ ] [12]. In order to furnish **stereockamical** information about the metal **center** and **to complement**  the aforementioned kinetic and stereochemical investigations, we have examined the stereochemistry at the iron atom of the photochemical decarbonylation of a pseudotetrahedrai, chiral iron comples.

Complexes of the general formula  $(h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)R, where  $R = alkyl$  or acyl, provide useful models for studies of the stereochemistry of the **metal during reaction at the** Fe-R bond. The iron atom is situated in an asymmetric, pseudotetrahedral environment. 'H NMR studies have shown that the methylene protons of the benzyl group in  $h^3$ -C<sub>s</sub>H<sub>s</sub>Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>s</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]- $(COCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)$  [13] and  $h<sup>3</sup>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>6</sub>(CO)$ [ P(C<sub>p</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>p</sub>H<sub>3</sub> [14], as well as the gem-methyl groups in  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[P(CH<sub>3</sub>),C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>](COCH<sub>3</sub>)[13], are magnetically nonequivalent. The observed magnetic nonequivalence of these groups confirms the presence of an asymmetric metal atom and demonstrates a high degree of configurational stability at the iron center. Consistent with the above, the synthesis and separation into optical isomers of a number of asymmetric, pseudotetrahedral transition metal comp!exes have been recently reported [ 15-171.

Ln **a previous paper from this laboratory a study has been reported on the**  stereochemistry at the iron center of the decarbonylation of  $h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)- $[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)$ ,  $[COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>1</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>]$  [18]. However, the resulting alkyl complex,  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] CH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, showed only moderate configurational stability, thus precluding a precise determination of the stereospecificity of this reaction. In order possibly to circumvent this difficulty we have now designed and synthesized chiral iron—acyl and —alkyl complexes of the general formula (h<sup>5</sup>-cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)[P( $C_6H_5$ )<sub>1</sub>] R which contain an unsymmetrically substituted penfahapto-cyclopentadienyl ring as well as an **asymme**tric metal. The compounds  $(h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>][COCH<sub>3</sub>)$ (I) and  $(h^5-1\text{-}CH_3\text{-}G_6H_3C_5H_3)Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_3)_3]CH_3$  (II) were separated into **two** diastereomerically related pairs of enantiomers, (RR), (SS) and (RS), (SR). The stereospecificity at the iron during the photochemical decarbonylation of I to II was **studied** by 'H NMR spectroscopy. Part of this work has been described **in a preliminary communication [ 191.** 

### Esperimental

**All organometallic reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of** nitrogen. Neutral alumina (Ventron) used for chromatography and filtration was deactivated with distilled water (5%).

Iron pentacarbonyl was purchased from Alfa Inorganics. Diiron nonacarbony1 was prepared according to King [20]. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from **LiAiH,** under a nitrogen atmosphere. Reagent grade benzene and technical grade pentane were distilled from CaH?, piperidine from NaOH, and acetonitrile from  $P_4O_{10}$ . Indene and  $C_6H_3CH_2COCH_3$  were also purified by distillation prior to use. p-Toluenesulfonyl chloride was recrystallized from ethanol. All other procured chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and were used as received.

3-Phenyl-2cyclopentenone [ 211, 2,3,4-triphenyl-2cyclopentenone [ 221, 1-indanone [23], and  $C_6H_5COCH_2Br$  [24] were prepared as described in the literature\_ I-Methyl-3-phenylcyclopentadiene [ 251, 2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadiene [26], and 3-methylindene [27] were obtained by treatment of 3-phenyl-2\_cyc!opentenone, 2,3,4-triphenyl-2cyclopentenone, and l-inda**none, respectively,** with CH3MgI. p-Toluenesulfonyl azide was prepared from p-toluenesulfonyl chloride and NaN<sub>3</sub> in THF [28]. The alkyl  $h^5$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> was obtained by the reaction of  $Nafh<sup>3</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>$  with CH<sub>3</sub>I [29]. Methyl- $\beta$ -naphthylphenylphosphine was synthesized by the action of Si<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> on methyl- $\beta$ -naphthylphenylphosphine oxide [30], employing the procedure of Mislow [31]. S-(+)-(2-Phenylbutyl)diphenylphosphine was a gift from Professor J.D. Morrison.

1-Diazo-2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadiene was prepared from p-toluenesulfonyl azide and 2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadiene in the presence of piperidine in acetonitrile **at room temperature in a manner analogous to that reported for diazotriphenylcyclopentadiene and diazotetraphenylcyclopentadiene** 1321. After chromatography on alumina, the solvent was removed and the product was crystallized from ether/pentane to afford orange crystals  $(48\%$  yield) of 1-diazo-2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadiene, m.p.  $192^\circ$ ,  $\nu(NN)$  2063 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\tau$  7.79s (3H), 2.5-2.9m (15H).

**Infrared spectra were recorded either on a Perkin-Elmer Model 337 spec**trophotometer or on a Beckman Model IR-9 spectrophotometer. 'H NMR **spectra were obtained on a** JEOL JNM-MH-100 or a Varian A-60A spectrometer. Lrradiation experiments were carried out in Pyrex tubes under nitrogen using a Rayonet **Model RPR-100 photochemical reactor with 3500 A lamps.**  Melting points were measured in vacuo with a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville, Tenn.

### *Preparation of*  $[(h^5 - 1 - CH_3 - 3 - C_6H_5C_5H_3)Fe(CO)_2]_2$

A suspension of 16 g (0.10 mol) of 1-methyl-3-phenylcyclopentadiene and  $36$  g (0.10 mol) of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)$ , in 150 ml of benzene was stirred at room tempera**ture for 21 h. The mixture was filtered, the** volume of the filtrate was reduced, and the resulting concentrated solution was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with pentane afforded a yellow band which contained some unreacted l-methyl-3-phenylcyclopentadiene. Then elution with benzene afforded a brown band which contained the product. After removal of the solvent and addition of pentane, 6.1 g (23% yield) of dark purple crystals of  $(n^3-1-CH_3-3-1)$  $C_6H_5C_5H_3$ )Fe(CO),  $1_2$  was obtained,  $\nu(C=O)$  1987 vs, 1947 s cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\nu(C=O)$  1769 vs  $cm^{-1}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution).

# *Preparation of (h<sup>3</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>C, H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>*

The salt  $\text{Na}[(h^3-1\text{-CH}_3\cdot 3\cdot \text{C}_6H_3\cdot \text{C}_3H_3)\text{Fe(CO)}_2]$  was prepared by the reduction of 1.4 g (2.7 mmol) of  $[(h^3-1-CH_3-3-C_6H_3C_3H_3)Fe(CO)_2]$  with 2% excess sodium amalgam in 50 ml of THF. To this solution was added  $0.85 g$  (5.4) mmol) of  $CH<sub>3</sub>I$  in 10 ml of THF. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h and then the sotvent was removed. The residue was estracted with pentane and the extracts were filtered through 10 g of alumina. The pentane was removed at  $20^{\circ}$ to afford 1.2 g (79% yield) of the yellow crystals of  $(h^3-1\text{-CH}_3\text{-}3\text{-}C_hH_3C_3H_3)$  Fe-(CO),CH, which melted upon warming to room temperature.

### *Preparation of (h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>](COCH<sub>3</sub>) (I)*

*A* solution of 1.2 g (4.2 mmol) of  $(h^3-1\text{-CH}_3\text{-}3\text{-}C_6H_3C_5H_3)Fe(CO)_2CH_3$  and 1.1 g (4.2 mmol) of  $P(C_bH_i)$ , in 25 ml of CH<sub>3</sub>CN was maintained at reflux for 16.5 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was dissolved in a small amount of  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  and chromatographed on alumina. Elution with pentane afforded some unreacted starting materials; elution with  $3/1$  CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/benzene afforded a red band. The effluent was concentrated and pentane was added to yield 1.2 g (53%) of the orange crystals of I.

# *Preparation of (h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]CH<sub>3</sub> (II)*

*4* solution of 1.1 g (2.0 mmol) of I in 250 ml of benzene was irradiated for 3 h. It was then concentrated and chromatographed on alumina. Elution with 4/1 pentane/benzene afforded the red-colored product; elution with benzene gave the purple-colored cyclopentadienyliron dicarbonyl dimer; and elution with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  furnished the orange-colored starting material. Concentration of the effluents and additior, of pentane afforded  $0.79$  g (75% yield) of II, 0.06 g (10% yield) of  $[(h^3-1-CH_3-3-CH_3C_6H_3)Fe(CO)_2]$ , and 0.10 g (8% recovery) of I.

## *Separation of the diastereomers of (h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]-(COCHJ) (IQ and 16)*

**Ia and** ib were separated by a combmation of column chromatography and fractional crystallization. Approximately 1.5 g of a 50/50 mixture of Ia/Ib was dissolved in a minimum amount of benzene and chromatographed on a 2.7 **X** 37 cm column of alumina. With pentane as the eluent, I remained at the top of the column. As the eluent was changed from pentane to 2/l pentane/benzene the large orange band moved slowly. This band was eluted and the solvent removed **until 0.5 g of the solid had been collected. The remainder of** the **band was then eluted off with CH2C12, the solvent was removed and the residue was set aside.** 

**The** combined front fractions of separate chromatographies of three 1.5 g 50/50 mistures of Ia/Ib were rechromatographed by the above procedure. The Iarge orange band was divided into two portions. The front portion (0.75 g) was identified by **NMR spectroscopy as a 95/5 mixture of Ia/Ib.** 

**Approsimately 2.6 g of a 35/65 mis ture of Ia/Ib (obtained from the back fractions of the above-mentioned initial chromatographies) was dissolved in 50 mi of 4/l pentane/benzene and was stored under nitrogen for 24 h at 5". The crystals** (1.7 g) were collected, washed with pentane, dissolved in **50 ml of 3/2** 

Compound	$M_P$ ( $^{\circ}$ C)	Analysis found (calcd.) (%)		
		C	Ħ	
$50/50$ $1a/D$	129-134 (dec.)	72.81 (72.81)	5.58(5.37)	
95/5 la/Ib	136-138 (dec.)	72.84 (72.81)	5.10(5.37)	
$2/98$ $1a/1b$	135-137 (dec.)	72.73 (72.81)	5,30(5.37)	
$97/3$ Ha/IIb	137-138 (dec.)	74.55 (74.43)	5.71(5.66)	
8/92 Ila/IIb	139-141 (dec.)	74.29 (74.43)	5,58(5.66)	

**TABLE 1 ANALYTICAL DATA 4ND XlELTlNG POINTS OF la/lb AND llalilb** 

pentane/benzene and again stored for 24 h at 5". The resulting crystals were filtered off and washed with pentane to yield 0.95 g of diastereomerically pure Ib as ascertained by NMR spectroscopy.

Analytical data and melting points of Ia/Ib are furnished in Table 1.

# *Separation of the diastereomers of*  $(h^5 \cdot 1 \cdot CH_3 \cdot 3 \cdot C_6 H_5 C_5 H_3)$  *Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>o</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] CH<sub>3</sub> (ila and I(b)*

A solution of 1.25 g of an 85/15 mixture of Ha/Hb (obtained from several successive photochemical decarbonylations of a 95/5 misture of Ia/Ib for 15 min.) in *38* ml of 15/4 pentanejbenzene was stored under nitrogen for 24 h at 5". The resulting crystals were filtered off and washed with pentane to afford  $0.715$  g of a 97/3 mixture of  $IIa/IIb$  as identified by NMR spectroscopy.

A **solution** of 0.657 g of pure Ib in 250 ml of THF was irradiated for 5 min. Following usual work-up, 0.056 g of an  $8/92$  mixture of IIa/IIb was isolated. This procedure was repeated to accumulate larger amounts of an S/92 misture of IIa/IIb.

Analytical data and meltmg points of IIa/IIb are furnished in Table 1.

# *Photochemical decarbowylation of la and Ib*

Beizene or THF solutions (ca.  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $7 \times 10^{-3}$  M) of I enriched in Ia or Ib were irradiated for various periods of time under nitrogen. The solutions were then concentrated and chromatographed on an aIumina column (2 **X** 20 cm). Elution with  $4/1$  pentane/benzene afforded II; elution with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  yielded unreacted I. The results of these esperiments are given in Table 2.

**TABLE 2 STEREOCHEMICAL RESULTS OF THE DECARBONY LATION OF Ia AND Ib** 

Solvent	(mm.)	Time Starting Ja/lb			Recovered la/lb	Yield of 11(5)	Product Hallb	Stereo- specificity
Benzene (150 ml)	40	95/5	(0.504c)	95/5	(0.180 g)	50	$59/41(0.241g)$ 20	
Benzene (250 ml)	15	95/5	(0.560 g)	95/5	$(0.335 \text{ g})$	28	84/16 (0 142 g) 76	
Benzene (250 ml)	5.	95/5	(1.010 g)	95/5	(0.910e)	s	88/12 (0.055 g) 84	
Benzene (250 ml)	5.		0/100(0.917 g)		0/100(0.763 g)	6	$8/92(0.049 \text{ g})$ 84	
THF (250 ml)	5.		0/100(0.755 g)		0/100(0.657 g)	8	8/92(0.059 g) 84	

#### *Configurational stability of Iia and IIb*

*An 84/16* mixture of IIa/Iib *(0.144 g) was* dissolved in a small volume of benzene and chromatographed on an alumina column  $(2 \times 20 \text{ cm})$ . Elution with 4/l pentane/benzene afforded 0.141 g of an 83/17 mixture of IIa/IIb. Mistures of ila/iIb enriched in either IIa or IIb were found to be configurationally stable in benzene, THF, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> for 4 h in the dark or in ordinary laboratory light under nitrogen at 25" . A solution of 0.060 g of an 85/15 mixture of IIa/IIb in CDC<sub>1</sub> gradually epimerized with considerable decomposition over a 3 h period to a 60/40 mixture of Lia/Ilb.

#### *Kinetic inoestigatlon of the decarbonylation of a 95/S mixture of ialib*

Benzene solutions (7.35  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup>*M*) of a 95/5 mixture of Ia/Ib in 2.5  $\times$  20 cm Pyrex tubes were irradiated for  $10, 15, 20$ , and  $25$  min. The solutions were then concentrated and chromatcgraphed on an alumina column  $(2.5 \times 12 \text{ cm})$ . Elution with 3/2 pentane/benzene afforded II; elution with CH,Cl, yielded I. A trace of  $[(h^3-1-CH_3-2-CH_3C_3H_3Fe(CO))$ . was observed during chromatography of the 20 and 25 min. reaction mistures. The results of these experiments are presented in Table 3 and in the Results and discussion section of this paper.

# *Photochemical epimerization of a 7@/30 mucture of IIa/Ilb*

Benzene solutions  $(2.25 \times 10^{-3} M)$  of a 70/30 mixture of IIa/IIb were irradiated in Pyres tubes (2.5 **X** 20 cm) for 5, 10, 15, and 20 min under nitrogen. After the solutions had been concentrated and filtered through one inch of alumina to remove the decomposition material, the solvent was evaporated. The resuIts of these experiment:; are tabulated in the Results and discussion section of this paper.

#### *Determination of the ratios of the diastereomers Ia/Ib and IIa/lib*

The ratios of the diastereomers of I were determined by multiple integration on the three diastereotopic (by external comparison) protons on the cyclopentadienyl ring between  $\tau$  5.20 and 6.38 on a JEOL JNM-MH-100 spectrometer. Generally, a base line could not be obtained between the signals for the two sets of diastereotopic (by external comparison) methyl groups. The ratios of the diastereomers of II were determined by peak height measurement of the methyl groups on the cyclopentadienyl ring. Peak height measurement were found to give the same ratio as multiple integrations of the signals. The values for the multiple integrations and peak height measurements have an error of  $\pm 1$ 

**TABLE 3** 



**RESULTS OF A KINETIC STUDY OF THE DECARBONYLATION OF A 9515 MlXTURE OF la/lb** 

<sup>*a*</sup> In 250 ml of benzene. <sup>*b*</sup> In 125 ml of benzene. <sup>c</sup>A trace of [(h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub> also tsolated.

percent unit. The average of 4-6 integrations or 4 peak height measurements was taken.

# *Preparation of*  $I(h^3-1-CH_3-2,3,4-(C_6H_5)3C_6H)Fe(CO_2,I_2$

A suspension of 9 g (30 mmol) of 1-diazo-2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadiene and 18 g (50 mmol) **of Fe2(C0)9 in** 270 ml of benzene was stirred at  $40^{\circ}$  for 30 min. The mixture was then filtered and the solvent was removed. The residue was estracted with pentane and the solvent was removed from the extract to yield 4.7 g of 2-methyl-3,4,5-triphenylcyclopentadiene. The pentaneinsoluble residue was recrystallized from CH $\cdot$ Cl $\cdot$ /pentane to afford 4.2 g (35%) yield) of  $[(h^5-1-CH_3-2,3,4-(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>),C<sub>5</sub>)]$   $[Fe(CO),1, \nu(C=O)$  1987 vs, 1945 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\nu(C=O)$  1773 vs cm<sup>-1</sup> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution).

# *Preparation of (h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2,3,4-(C<sub>o</sub>H<sub>3</sub>),C<sub>3</sub>H)Fe(CO),CH<sub>3</sub>*

The salt  $\text{Na}[(h^5 \text{-}1 \text{-} \text{CH}_3 \text{-}2,3,4 \text{-} (\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\text{C}_5\text{H})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})$ ?] was prepared by stirring a solution of 4.2 g (5.0 mmol) of  $[(h^3 - 1 - CH_3 - 2, 3, 4 - (C_0H_3)_{3}C_5H)Fe(CO)_2]$ , in 60 ml of THF with escess 2% sodium amalgam. After 1 h the escess amalgam was removed. **A** solution of 1.4 g (10 mmol) **of CH,I in** 10 ml of THF was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was estracted with pentane and filtered through two inches of alumina. The pentane was removed from the extract to afford 3.0 g (70% yield) of the yellow crystals of  $(h^5-1\text{-CH}_3-2,2,4\text{-}(C_6H_5)_{3}C, H)Fe(CO)$ , CH<sub>3</sub>.

### *Preparation of (h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2,3,4-(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>](COCH<sub>3</sub>)*

**A** solution of 3.0 g (6.9 mmol) of  $(h^3-1\text{-CH}_3-2,3,4\text{-}(C_6H_5)_3C_5H)Fe(CO)_2CH_3$ and 1.8 g (6.9 mmol) of  $P(C_0H_5)$  in 50 ml of  $CH_3CN$  was maintained at reflux for 24 h. The solvent was then removed and the residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of benzene and chromatographed on alumina. Elution **with ben**zene afforded a trace of  $((h^3-1-CH,-2,3,4-(C,H),C,H)Fe(CO),1)$ ; then elution with **CH,Cl, yieided the orange-colored product. The volume of the solution was re**duced and 2.8 g (58% yield) of the orange crystals of  $(h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2,3,4 (C_6H_5)$ <sub>3</sub> $C_5H$ **)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>](COCH<sub>3</sub>) were obtained upon addition of pentane.** 

### *Preparation of (h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2,3,4-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>),C<sub>5</sub>H)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]CH<sub>3</sub>*

**A** solution of 1.6 g (2.3 mmol) of  $(h^3-1-CH_3-2,3,4-(C_1H_3)$ , C<sub>s</sub>H)Fe(CO)- $[P(C_6H_3),]$  (COCH<sub>3</sub>) in 300 ml of 5/1 benzene/pentane was irradiated for 3.5 h. **The solution was then concentrated and chromatographed on alumina. Elution with 3/l pentane/benzene afforded a red band of the product. The solvent was**  removed from the effluent and recrystallization of the residue from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/pen- $\tan$  afforded 0.78 g (51% yield) of red crystals of  $(h^3-1-CH, -2, 3, 4-(C<sub>6</sub>H, -1, C<sub>8</sub>H)$  $Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_3)_3]$  CH<sub>3</sub>. Elution with  $CH_2Cl_2$  gave the unreacted acetyl complex.

## *Preparation of*  $[(h^5-1-CH_3C_9H_6)Fe(CO)_2]$ *,*

**A solution of 26 g (0.20 mol) of 3-methylindene and 40 g (0.20 mol) of Fe(CO)j in 250 ml of heptane was maintained at reflw for 21 h, filtered, and concentrated. Upon addition of pentane, 5.2 g (11% yield) of the dark red crys**tals of  $[(h^5-1-CH_3C_9H_6)Fe(CO)_2]$ , was obtained,  $\nu(C=O)$  1989 vs, 1945 vs cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\nu(C=O)$  1767 vs cm<sup>-1</sup> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution).

### *Preparation of*  $(h^3-1\text{-}CH_3C_9H_9)Fe(CO)_2CH_2C_6H_3$

*The* salt **Na[h'-1-CH,C,H,)Fe(C0)2] was obtained by the reduction of 1.7 g**   $(3.5 \text{ mmol})$  of  $[(h^5 \text{-} 1 \text{-} CH_3 C_9 H_6) \text{Fe} (CO)_2]$ , in 60 ml of THF with excess 2% sodi**um amalgam. After stirring for 1 h and removal** of escess amalgam, 0.89 **g** (7.0 mmol) of  $C_6H_3CH_2Cl$  in 15 ml of THF was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h. This solution was filtered, the solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with pentane afforded the orange-colored product. After removal of the **pentane** from the effluent, 0.88 g  $(38\% \text{ yield}) \text{ of } (h^3 \text{-} 1 \text{-} CH_3 C_9 H_6) \text{Fe}(CO) \text{-} CH_2 C_6 H_5$  was obtained.

# *Preparation of*  $(h^5 \text{-} 1\text{-} CH_3C_9H_6)Fe(CO)/P(C_6H_5)$ *,*  $f(COCH_2C_6H_5)$

A solution of 0.83 g (2.5 mmol) of  $(h^3-1-CH_3C_9H_6)Fe(CO)$ ,  $CH_2C_6H_5$  and 0.66 g (2.5 mmol) of  $P(C_6H_5)$  in 50 ml of  $CH_3CN$  was kept at reflux for 70 h. Extensive decomposition was apparent. The solvent was removed and the resi**due was chromatographed** on alumina. Elution with pentane afforded the unreacted starting materials; elution with  $1/1$  benzene/CH, Cl<sub>2</sub> gave the red product. The solvent was removed and the product was recrystallized from ether/hexane to give 0.77 g (52% yield) of orange crystals of  $(h^3-1\text{-CH}_3\text{C}_3H_6)\text{Fe(CO)}[P(C_6H_5)_3]$  $(COCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)$ .

# *Preparation of*  $(h^3-1-CH_3C_9H_9)Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_5)_3]CH_2C_6H_5$

*A* solution of 1.2 g (2.0 mmol) of  $(h^3-1-CH_3C_9H_6)Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_5)_3]$ (COCH, $C_6H_5$ ) in 250 ml of 4/1 benzene/pentane was irradiated for 1.5 h. The solution was then concentrated and chromatographed on alumina. Elution with  $1/1$ pentane/benzene afforded the product, whereas elution with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> yielded the unreacted starting material. Removal of the so!vent from the fist effluent furnished 0.56 g (48% yield) of  $(h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>H<sub>3</sub>.$ 

# *Preparation of h<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[S-(+)-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>](COCH<sub>3</sub>)*

*A* solution of 1.0 g (3.1 mmol) of  $S^{(+)}(C_pH_5)$ : PCH<sub>2</sub>CH( $C_pH_5$ )C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> and 0.63 g (3.1 mmol) of  $h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> in 25 ml of THF was maintained at reflus for 72 **h. The solvent was then removed and the residue was chrornatographed on a 3 X 35 cm column of alumina. Elution with pentane gave unre**acted  $h^5$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; then elution with CHCl<sub>3</sub> and removal of the solvent afforded 1.2 g (73% yield) of the orange oil of  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[S-(+)- $(C_{6}H_{5})_{2}PCH_{2}CH(C_{6}H_{5})C_{2}H_{5} (COCH_{3}).$ 

# *Preparation of h<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[S-(+)-(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]CH<sub>3</sub>*

**A** solution of 1.0 g (2.0 mmol) of  $h^5$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[S-(+)-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH-(CbHj)CzHj] (COCH3) in **250 ml of 4/l benzenejpentane was irradiated under nitrogen for 3 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed on a 3** x **30 cm column of alumina. Elution with 4/l pentane/benzene afforded after removal of the solvent 0.55 g (55% yield) of the orange oil of**  $h^3$ **-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe-** $(CO)[S-(+)$  $(C_6H_3)$  $(C_7CH_2CH(C_6H_3)C_2H_3]CH_3$ . Elution with  $CH_2Cl_2$  gave the unre**acted acetyl complex.** 

*Preparation of h<sup>5</sup>·C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[P(CH<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)(* $\beta$ *-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)]CH<sub>3</sub>* **A** solution of 1.3 g (6.5 mmol) of  $h^3$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> and 1.6 g (6.5) mmol) of P(CH<sub>3</sub>)( $C_6H_5$ )( $\beta$ -C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>) in 300 ml of petroleum ether was photolyzed under nitrogen for  $2 h$ . The solvent was then removed and the residue was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with pentane yielded unreacted  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, whereas elution with benzene gave after removal of the solvent 1.4 g (54% yield) of the red oil of  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)[P(CH<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)- $(\beta$ -C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)] CH<sub>3</sub>.

### Results **and discussion**

#### **Design** *of the system*

Our design of a satisfactory system of the general formula (h<sup>5</sup>-cyclopentadienyl) $Fe(CO)(L)(COR)$  for a stereochemical study of the decarbonylation is **based on the construction of diastereomers through the generation of two chiral centers in the above composition. One of these centers is the metal present** in a pseudotetrahedral environment. The second asymmetric center may be introduced into one of the ligands  $h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl, L or COR. An ( $h^3$ -cyclopen $tadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)(COR)$  complex with asymmetry in the acyl moiety was the subject of an earlier publication [ 181. One of the more severe limitations of **such a model is that cleavage of the** hl-COR **bond (or the** RI-R **bond derived therefrom) with estrusion of the** COR (or R) **moiety leaves only one chiral**  center in the resultant metal comples. Thus diastereomers are no longer possible. This of course does not apply to the decarbonylation; however, it does limit the number of reactions at metal-carbon  $\sigma$ -bonds that can be investigated with respect to their stereochemistry.

The above problem can be circumvented by the introduction of asymmetry into either  $h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl or L. Both approaches were tried in this work. It **might appear a pnori that the former approach would be the more successful,**  since  $h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl rings are firmly bound to the iron. In contrast, ligands L such as  $P(C<sub>b</sub>H<sub>s</sub>)$ , are known to be replaced through substitution reactions in complexes of the type  $(h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)R [33].

Of the several acyl and alkyl complexes of the general formulas  $(h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)(COR) and  $(h^3$ -cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)(L)R prepared in this study, the most satisfactory system for the proposed investigation proved to be  $(h^{5}$ -1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>0</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>](COCH<sub>3</sub>) (I)-( $h^{5}$ -1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3- $C_6H_5C_3H_3$  )Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>n</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] CH<sub>3</sub> (II). A study on this system comprises most of the Results and discussion section of this paper. The remaining systems, which turned out to be either less satisfactory than  $I-II$  or totally unsatisfactory, are described briefly at the end of this discussion.

# *Synthesis, characterization and properties of I and II and of their diastereorners*

The synthesis of the organoiron complexes containing an  $h^3$ -1-CH<sub>1</sub>-3- $C_6H_5C_5H_3$  ring is given in Scheme 1.

The dinuclear compound  $[(h^3-1-CH_3-3-CH_3C_3H_3)Fe(CO)_2]_2$  was isolated in 23% yield (or higher, up to 65%, if the recovered substituted cyclopentadiene is used again) asadark purplesolid. It was characterized through IR spectroscopy in the  $\nu(C=O)$  region. Reduction of this complex in THF with sodium amalgam and treatment of the resulting solution with CH<sub>3</sub>I gave  $(h^5-1-CH_3-C_5H_3C_5H_3)$ - $Fe(CO)$ , CH<sub>3</sub> in 79% yield. The iron alkyl was characterized by IR and <sup>1</sup>H



NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, as shown in Table 4. Through the action of  $P(C_6H_5)$ , in CH<sub>1</sub>CN at reflux this complex was converted to I in 53% yield. The photochemical decarbonylation of I in benzene afforded good yields of II. Both I and II were characterized by elemental analyses (Table 1) and IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (Table 4).

The complexes I and II possess two chiral centers, i.e. the metal and the unsymmetrically disubstituted pentahapto-cyclopentadienyl ring, and hence are present as mixtures of two diastereomerically related pairs of enantiomers,  $(RR)$ -I-(SS)-I and  $(RS)$ -I-(SR)-I (designated as Ia and Ib) and  $(RR)$ -II-(SS)-II and  $(RS)$  II ( $SR$ ) II (designated as IIa and IIb). These isomers are drawn in Fig. 1, but the relationship between the designation a and b and the absolute configuration is not known \*. For simplicity, the two enantiomeric pairs will be labeled as diastereomers a and b. This is because under achiral conditions employed here, reactions of enantiomers proceed through energetically identical pathways.

 $\degree$  The priority sequence for determining  $R$  and  $S$  configuration for chiral iron is taken to be  $P(C_6H_5)_3 > h^5$ -cyclopentadienyl  $>$  CO  $>$  COCH<sub>3</sub>  $>$  CH<sub>3</sub>. The rules for establishing the R and S designations associated with the chirality of  $\pi$ -complexes are given in ref. 34.



Fig. 1. The four stereoisomers of I and II.

**The diastereomers of I, Ia** and Ib, and of Li, IIa and Ilb, have readily distinguishable 'H NMR spectra (Table 4). The NMR spectra of a 95/5 and a 0/100 mixture of Ia/Ib in the region  $\tau$  5-10 are shown in Fig. 2 The NMR spectra of a 97/3 and an 8/92 misture of Iia/IIb in the same region are reproduced in Fig. 3. It is readily seen that the two methyl groups and the three cyclopentadienyl ring protons are diastereotopic by estemal comparison **in both I and II. By integration of the**  $C_5H_3$  **signals between**  $\tau$  **5.20 and 6.28 in I** and of the signals due to the methyl groups on the cyclopentadienyl ring at T 8.22 and 8.53 in II, the ratios of diastereomers in mixtures can be readily determined.

The diastereomers of I were separated by a combination of chromatography on alumina and fractional crystallization of the resulting enriched mistures from benzene/pentane. Fractional crystallization of 50/50 mixtures was also tried, but unsuccessfully, as a separation technique. The more soluble diastereomer Ia is eluted faster from a column of alumina and may be obtained readily in 95% purity by repetitive chromatography. A small amount of diastereomerically pure Ia was obtained by careful crystallization of a 9515 mixture of Ia/Ib. Diasteromerically pure Ib can be isolated by successive crystallizations of the accumulated back fractions from the above-mentioned chromatography of Ia/Ib. Both procedures are described in detail in the Experimental section.

The diastereomers of II were too unstable toward alumina to allow their separation by repetitive column chromatography. However, mixtures enriched in IIa and IIb were obtained from the stereospecific decarbonylation of Ia and Ib, respectively, as described in the Experimental section. To minimize the slow epimerization of Ila and Iib under the photolytic conditions, solutions of Ia and



### TABLE 4 SPECTROSCOPIC DATA FOR NEW h<sup>5</sup>-CYCLOPENTADIENYL COMPLEXES

<sup>a</sup> Mass spectrum (AEI Model MS-9 spectrometer, at 70eV, source temp. 70°): P\*(7), P\*-CO(16), P\*-2CO- $C_{12}H_{11}$  Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, intensities relative to  $m/e$  56 = 100 given in parentheses. <sup>b</sup> In CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution unless internal standard. Aromatic C6H, protons omitted. Relative intensities in parentheses. Abbreviations: s, h  $J(P-H) = 6.5 \text{ Hz}$ . *l* Protons 2 and 3 of C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. *l* AB quartet,  $J(H-H) = 16 \text{ Hz}$ . *k*  $J(H-H) = 7 \text{ Hz}$ . *l*  $J(P-H) =$ 

Ib were irradiated for short periods of time (5-15 min.) and the product II was separated from I by chromatography. The acetyl I was then rephotolyzed and again the alky! II was separated. This procedure was repeated to accumulate larger amounts of 85/15 and 8/92 mixtures of Ha/Hb. A 97/3 mixture of Ha/Hb as well as diasteromerically pure IIa were obtained by fractional crystallization of the  $85/15$  mixture of IIa/IIb. An  $8/92$  mixture of IIa/IIb was isolated from the 5 minute decarbonylation of pure Ib. No attempt was made at obtaining diastereomerically pure IIb.

#### Stereospecificity of the photochemical decarbonylation of Ia and Ib

The diastereomeric acetyls Ia and Ib undergo photochemical decarbonylation at 3500 Å to afford the corresponding methyl derivatives enriched in IIa and IIb, respectively. Mixtures of Ia/Ib of different percent composition, determined by NMR spectroscopy, were photolyzed for various time intervals and the unreacted acetyl and product methyl complexes were separated by chromatography. The ratios of the recovered Ia/Ib and the product IIa/IIb were determined by NMR spectroscopy. The results of these experiments are given in Table 2.

(Table 4 continued)

$H NMR(r)^d$						
$(h^5 - C_5 H_5)$	R	L.				
$8.04s(3), CH3C5; 5.35m(1),$ $5.00m(2), C_5H_3$	$9.92s(3), CH$ ,					
$ia: 8.32s(3), CH3C5, 6.28m(1),$ $5.25m(1), 5.20m(1), C_5H_3$	7.72s(3), COCH <sub>3</sub>					
Ib: 8.44s(3), $CH_3C_5: 5.83m(1)$ , $5.55m(1), 5.35m(1), C_5H_3$	7.96s(3), COCH <sub>3</sub>					
IIa: 8.22s(3), $CH_3C_5$ ; 6.19m(1), 5.90m(1), 5.73m(1), $C_5H_3$ <sup>e</sup>	9.91d $g(3)$ , CH <sub>3</sub> <sup>e</sup>					
IIb: 8.53s(3), $CH_3C_5$ ; 6.79m(1), 5.70m(1), 5.6m(1), $C_5H_3^e$	9.82d $g(3)$ , CH <sub>3</sub> <sup>e</sup>					
8.38s(3), $CH_3C_5$ ; 5.55s(1), $C_5H$	9.55s(3), CH <sub>3</sub>					
$8.22s(3)$ , CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub> : 5.30s, 5.22s(1), $C_5H^e$	7.35s(3), COCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>e</sup>					
8.71s, 8.62s(3), $CH_3C_5$ ; 5.97s, 5.80s(1), $C_5H^T$	10.28d $h$ , 10.22d $h$ (3), CH <sub>3</sub> $\ell$					
$7.82s(3), CH3C9, 5.63m,$ 5.32m(2), C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	6.95, 6.51 $(2)$ , COCH <sub>2</sub>					
$7.15s(3)$ , $CH_3C_9$ ; 5.95m, 5.20m(2), C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	$82m, 7.7m(2), CH -$					
$5.77s$ , $5.70s(5)$ , $C_5H_5$	$7.53$ s, $7.42$ s(3), COCH <sub>3</sub>	9.52 $(k^{2}/(3)$ CH <sub>3</sub> C; 8.68m(2), $CH2C$ ; 7.3m (3), $CH2P$ , CH				
5.92s(5), C, H,	10.18d $^{l}$ , 10.17d $^{l}$ (3), CH <sub>3</sub>	9.58t $^k(3)$ , CH <sub>3</sub> C; 8.8m(2), $CH2C$ ; 7.5m (3), $CH2P$ , CH				
$5.88s(5), C_5H_5$	10.23d $h(3)$ , CH <sub>3</sub>	8.28d $m(3)$ , CH <sub>3</sub> P				

CH<sub>3</sub>(17), C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Fe<sup>\*</sup>(32), C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>Fe<sup>\*</sup>, C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub><sup>\*</sup>(440), C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>FeO<sup>\*</sup>(12). Only peaks of *m*;e > 160 given, P = indicated otherwise. <sup>C</sup> In CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution, <sup>d</sup> In CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution unless noted otherwise, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet, e In C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution.  $\int$  In CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution  $E$  J(P-H) = 6.4 Hz. 6.2 Hz.  $m J(P-H) = 8.5 Hz$ .

Four points of interest emerge upon examination of the above data. First, the observed stereospecificity of the decarbonylation decreases with longer periods of the irradiation; this has been demonstrated for a 95/5 mixture of Ia/Ib which was irradiated for 5, 15, and 40 min. in benzene solution. A similar decrease in stereospecificity of the photochemical decarbonylation has been observed for optically active  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (COCH<sub>3</sub>) by Brunner and Strutz [35] and by Davison and Martinez [36]. Second, the stereospecificity of the decarbonylation for 5 min. in benzene solution is the same (i.e. 84%) for Ib as for Ia (actually 95/5 Ia/Ib). Therefore, the rates of the decarbonylation of Ia and Ib are equal (also vide infra). Third, the decarbonylation of pure Ib proceeds with the same stereospecificity (84% for 5 min.) in benzene and in THF solutions. The rates of the thermal decarbonylation of  $(RCO)Mn(CO)$ , [3] and trans- $h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Mo- $(CO)_{2}L(COCH_{3})$  [37] have also been shown to be essentially solvent independent. Fourth, the ratio of Ia/Ib in the acetyl recovered from each reaction is identical with the initial Ia/Ib ratio. This observation shows that I is configurationally stable when dissolved in benzene, toward the alumina chromatography, and under the photochemical conditions employed in decarbonylation. Furthermore, since no change in the ratio of Ia/Ib was observed up to 50% decarbonylation, the rate of the reaction must be the same for both diastereomers, as has already been mentioned above.



Fig. 2. The 100MHz<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of (A) a 95/5 mixture of la/lb and (B) pure lb in CDCI 3solution.

Fiz. 3. The 100 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (A) a 97/3 mixture of Ila/llb and (B) an 8<sub>1</sub>92 mixture of Ila/llb in C<sub>b</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution.

The diasfereomers of II are stable in benzene and THF both in the dark and in ordinary laboratory light. However, epimerization of IIa and Ilb does occur under the photochemical reaction conditions of decarbonylation. This was demonstrated **by photdyzing a 70/30 mixture of TTa/ITb in benzene solu**tion (vide inEra). No significant changes in the ratio of IIa/IIb were observed during chromatography.

The results obtained for the photochemical decarbonylation of la and Ib are discussed conveniently in the context of the reactions comprising Scheme  $2^{\prime}.$ All of the transformations  $I \rightarrow II$  are considered to be irreversible under the conditions employed in this study; precedent for this assumption exists in the literature  $[33]$ . Also, since the decarbonylation proceeds with  $\geq 84\%$  stereospecificity,  $k_1$  must be considerably greater than  $k_2$ .

*in* order to test the validity of Scheme 2 and to calculate the constants *k,*  and  $k_{\text{th}}$ , we investigated kinetically under the same conditions the decarbonylation of a 95/5 mixture of Ia/Ib and the photochemical epimerization of a 70/30 mixture of iIa/IIb. If *k, is* insignificant in this scheme, then the value of *k,* 

l **See Note added in proof. p. 415.** 

from the decarbonylation experiment should equal the value of  $k_{\rm T}$  from the epimerization experiment. However, the value of  $k<sub>n</sub>$  from the decarbonylation will be larger than that from the epimerization if the  $k_x$ -path is important.

**SCHEhlE 2** 

Ia 
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n k_{1a} \\
\hline\n k_x \\
\hline\n k_y \\
\hline\n B\n\end{array}
$$

The two reactions were investigated only for short periods of time. The appearance of  $[(h^5-1-CH_3-C_6H_3C_5H_3)Fe(CO)_2]$ , at longer reaction times showed that some decomposition was occurring in these systems. Additionally, the necessity of separating I and II (or of purifying  $H$ ) by column chromatography for NMR analyses unavoidably reduced the accuracy of the experimental data.

The results of these esperiments are presented in Tabies 3 and 5 for the decarbonylation and in Table 6 for the epimerization. The entire decarbonylation process **in Scheme 2 was** analyzed as a series of first-order reactions, with the following assumptions in the treatment of the data. The constants  $k_{1a}$ and  $k_{\text{th}}$  were taken to be equal on the basis of the observations described earlier (see above). Because II epimerizes to a 50/50 mixture of IIa/IIb,  $k_{\text{Ha}}$  was set equal to  $k_{\text{th}}$ . Furthermore,  $k_x$  was ignored because  $k_1 \ge k_x$ , as has already been stated. Finally, the photochemically induced decarbonylation of I and the epimerination of II were both assumed to be first-order processes. For comparison, thermal decarbonylation of metal--acyl compleses proceeds by the loss of a terminal CO in the rate determining step  $[1]$ ; similarly, a terminal CO is lost in the

**T.'.BLE 5** 



**EXPERIMENTAL AND CALCULATED<sup>2</sup> DATA FOR THE DECARBONY LATION OF 4 9515 MINTURE OF la/lb IN BENZENE SOLUTION** 

<sup>*a*</sup> In parentheses; calculated using  $k_1 = 2 \times 10^{-4}$  /s and  $k_{11} = 6 \times 10^{-4}$  /s.



EXPERIMENTAL AND CALCULATED<sup>a</sup> STEREOCHEMICAL DATA FOR THE EPIMERIZATION OF **A 70/30 MIXTURE OF IIa/IIb IN BENZENE SOLUTION** 

 $\frac{a_{\text{In parentheses: calculated using }k_{\text{II}} = 6 \times 10^{-4}}{s}$ .

photochemical decarbonylation of  $h^3$ -C<sub>s</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>(<sup>13</sup>COCH<sub>3</sub>) [38]. The foregoing assumptions simplify the reactron scheme to that shown below (Scheme 3).

**SCHEhlE 3** 



The concentrations of Ia, Ib, IIa and IIb were determined from the amounts of total I and II isolated after chromatography (Table 3) and from the ratios of Ia/Ib and IIa/IIb elucidated by NMR spectroscopy (Table 5). Using a computer program for a second-order numerical integration of the reactions in Scheme  $3$ , values of  $k_1$  and  $k_0$  were varied to provide the best fit for the experimental and calculated concentrations of the four iron compleses. The results are given in Table 5. The constants obtained in this manner are  $k_1 = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ /s and  $k_{11}$ (accompanying the decarbonylation of I) =  $6 \times 10^{-4}$ /s.

The constant  $k_{\text{H}}$  was then calculated using the data from the experiment on the photochemically induced epimerization of TI. The computation entailed the use of a second-order numerical integration of a reversible first-order reaction to obtain the best fit of experimental and calculated ratios of IIa/IIb (Table 6). The derived value of  $k_{\text{II}}$ , 6  $\times$  10<sup>-1</sup>/s, is identical with that obtained using the data from the decarbonylation esperiments.

The above results do not unequivocally rule out some contribution from the k,-path in **Scheme** 2', **although such** a pathway must be minor compared to the stereospecific decarbonylation-epimerization sequence. \Ve would suggest that the decarbonylation of I is most probably a completely stereospecific (100%) reaction. The value of  $> 84\%$  stereospecificity is the lower limit imposed by experimental constraints, since solutions of I must be irradiated long enough to yield sufficient product for NhlR analysis. Following the formation of IT, epimerization occurs under these photolytic conditions.

**TABLE 6** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Considerable absorption of the light causing photoepimerization of II by I will result in a lower experimental value of  $k_{\rm H}$  in the decarbonylation experiment.



Fig. 4. Concerted mechanisms of the decarbonvlation of I with inversion and retention of configuration **at lhe iron center.** 

#### *Mechanistic information derived from the decarbonylation of la and Ib*

*Two* alternatives, inversion or retention at the metal, are possible for the decarbonylation of I. Furthermore, there are two limiting mechanistic situations in each case. The reaction may proceed in a concerted fashion, with the photochemically excited CO being replaced by the migrating methyl group as depicted in Fig. 4 (concerted mechanism). Alternatively, however, the  $Fe-CO$ bond may break prior to the migration of the  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  fragment with either inversion or retention at the iron center (dissociative mechanism).

We cannot differentiate between concerted and dissociative mechanisms from the data obtained in this work. However, if the dissociative mechanism is operative, then the present stereochemical result demonstrates that the intermediate species with reduced coordination number of iron, i.e.  $(h^3-1-CH_3-3 C_6H_5C_5H_1$ )Fe[P( $C_6H_5$ ),](COCH<sub>3</sub>), possesses high configurational stability and does not adopt planar geometry around the metal. Whether the stereospecific decarbonylation of I involves retention **or inversion at iron can be elucidated only** with **the**  aid of X-ray crystallography. However, it has been shown recently that the photochemical decarbonylations of  $(+)_{346}h^5-C_5H_5Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_5)_3]$  (COCH<sub>3</sub>) [35] and  $R-(+)h^3 \cdot C_5H_5Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_3)_3]$ (COC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) [36] proceed with inversion of configuration at the iron center. Thus it is very likely that the  $I \rightarrow II$  transformation also entails inversion at the metal.

The photochemical epimerization of II may be either a dissociative or a nondissociative process. A dissociative pathway may involve any one of the four ligands bonded to the metal. Of the four,  $P(C_6H_3)$  is probably the most likely candidate to part with the metal judged from the related behavior reported in the literature. Thus, the dissociation of  $P(C<sub>o</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)$ , has been proposed to account for the epimerization of  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>S</sub>Mn(NO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>][(-)-COOC<sub>10</sub>H<sub>19</sub>] in solution [39]. Furthermore,  $h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>o</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]CH<sub>3</sub> reacts with P(OR)<sub>3</sub> (R = CH<sub>3</sub>, n-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) to give  $h^3$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[P(OR)<sub>3</sub>] CH<sub>3</sub> [33], probably also via dissociation of  $P(C_6H_5)$ , In a nondissociative process, epimerization may result

from absorption of a quantum of incident light by the alkyl complex leading to an intramolecular ligand **scrambling.** 

#### **Other** *syslems*

Two other new dinuclear complexes of the general formula  $[(h^5\text{-cycle})]$ tadienyl)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> where h<sup>5</sup>-cyclopentadienyl is an unsymmetrically substituted *pentahapto-cyclopentadienyl* ring were prepared in this study. The complex  $[(h^3-1-CH_3-2,3,4-(C_6H_5),C_7H)Fe(CO),]$  resulted upon treatment of the appropriate diazocyclopentadiene with  $Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>$ , whereas  $[(h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Fe-$ (CO),]? was obtained by the reaction of 3-methylindene with Fe(CO)j **in hep**tane at reflux. Both syntheses are described in detail in the Experimental section. It is noteworthy that attempted reactions of 2-methyl-3,4,5\_triphenylcyclopentadiene with each of  $Fe(CO)$ , at  $70-85^\circ$  and  $Fe_2(CO)$ , at room temperature resulted in the recovery of unreacted substituted cyclopentadiene. More forcing conditions were not tried since reactions between  $Fe(CO)$ , and cyclopentadienes with bulky substituents often lead to the formation of the appropriate ferrocenes rather than  $[(h^3$ cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>], [40].

The derivatives (h<sup>s</sup>-cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>R, (h<sup>s</sup>-cyclopentadienyl)- $Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_5)_3]$  (COR) and (h<sup>5</sup>-cyclopentadienyl)Fe(CO)[ $P(C_6H_5)_3$ ] R were prepared by reactions analogous to those for the corresponding complexes containing the  $h^5$ -1-CH<sub>3</sub>-3-C<sub>b</sub>H<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> ring. The monocarbonyls were characterized by IR and  $H$  NMR spectroscopy, as shown in Table 4.

The NMR spectra of the diastereomers of each of  $(h^3$ -1-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Fe(CO)- $[P(C_6H_3)_3]$  (COCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) and  $(h<sup>5</sup>-1CH_3C_9H_6)Fe(CO)[P(C_6H_3)_3]$ CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> appear to be identical, thus precluding the use of this system for a stereochemical study of the decarbonylation. The diastereomers of  $(h^5-1-CH_3-2,3,4- (C_6H_3)_3C_3H)$ - $Fe(CO) [P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] CH<sub>3</sub>$  have sufficiently different NMR spectra to determine their ratios in mixtures; however, in the NIIR spectrum of the corresponding  $(h<sup>5</sup>-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2,3,4-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>,C<sub>5</sub>H)Fe(CO)[P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (COCH<sub>3</sub>), only the single proton$ on the cyclopentadienyl ring gives rise to nonequivalent chemical shifts for the diastereomers. Because of this near degeneracy and a limited solubility of the acetyl compound in the usual NMR solvents, no stereochemical investigation was undertaken on its decarbonylation reaction.

Two types of complexes of the general formula  $h^3$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)(L)R with L being a chiral tertiary phosphine were examined in this work. One contained  $P(CH_3)(C_6H_5)(B-C_{10}H_7)$ , with a chiral phosphorus, and the other  $S_5(+)$ - $(C_6H_5)$ - $PCH_2CH(C_6H_3)C_2H_3$ , with an asymmetric carbon. The complexes were prepared by standard procedures, as described in the Esperimental section. Their IR and 'H NMR spectra are presented in Table 4.

Again, these systems proved to be unsuitable for a study of their respective photochemical decarbonylations by 'H NMR spectroscopy. The spectra of  $h^5$ -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Fe(CO)[P(CH<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)( $\beta$ -C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)] CH<sub>3</sub> appear to be identical, and those of  $h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe(CO)[S-(+)-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>),PCH<sub>2</sub>CH(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]CH<sub>3</sub> nearly identical, for both diastereomers.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Foundation for the support of this research

**(Grant GP-35647X and preceding grants) and for a Traineeship (T.G.A.). We also wish to thank Dr. P. Reich-Rohrwig for helpful discussions and Professors H. Brunner and A. Davison for communicating their results prior to publication.** 

#### **References**

- 1 A. Wolcicki, Advan. Organometal. Chem., 11 (1973) 87.
- 2 B.L. Booth, R.N. Haszeldme and N.P. Wortenden. J. Chem. Soc. A. (1970) 1979.
- 3 F. Calderzzo and F.A. Cotton. fnorp, Chem.. 1 (1962) 30; F. Calderazzo and F.A. Colton. Chum. **Ind. (hltkm).** 46 (1564) 1165.
- -I **R.W.** Glyde and R.J. hlawby. Inorg. Chun. Acta. 4 (1970) 331. R.W. Glvdr and R.J. hlawby. Inorg. Cbem.. 10 (1971) 853.
- 5 F. Cafdeazzo and I;. Noack. Coord. Chem. Rev.. 1 (1966) 118.
- 6 hl. Green. R.I. Hancock and D.C. Wood. J. Cbem. Sot. A, (1568) 2718.
- 7 R.J. hlasby. F. Basoloand R.G. Pearson. J. Amer. Chem. Sot.. 86 (156-l) 3594.
- 8 I.S. **Buller. F. &solo and R.G. Pearson. Inorg.** Chem.. 6 (1967) 2074.
- 9 M. Green **and D.J. Westlake. J. Cbem. Ser. A,** (1971) 367.
- 10 P.J. Cra~gand hl. Green. J. Chem. Sac. A. (1568) 1978: P.J. Craig **and** hl. Green. J. Chem. Sot. A. (1969) 157.
- 11 K. Noack and F. Calderazro. J. Organomeral. Chem.. 10 (1567) 101.
- 12 P.L. Bock, D.J. Boschetto, J.R. Rasmussen, J.P. Demers and G.M. Whitesides, J. Amer. Chem. Soc.. 96 (1574) 2814.
- 13 **H. Bmnner** and E. Schrnldr. Angew. Cbcm. Inr. **Ed En&.** 8 (1969) 616: H. Brunner, H.-D. Schmdler, E. Schmidt and M. Vogel, J. Organometal. Chem , 24 (1970) 515.
- 14 J.W. Faller and A.S. Anderson, J. Amer. Chem*. So*c., 91 (1969) 1550.
- 15 H. Brunner, Angew. Chem. 1nt. Ed. Engl., 10 (1971) 249. H. Brunner and E. Schmidt, J. Organometa **Chem.. 36 (15721 C18.**
- 16 A. Davison and D.L. Reger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94 (1972) 9237.
- 17 J. Tırouflet, A. Dormond, J.-C. Leßlanc and F. Le Maigne, Tetrahedron Lett., (1973) 257.
- 18 **P.** Reich-Rohrwlg and A. Wo,cicb. Inorg. Chem.. 13 (1974) 2457.
- 19 T.G. Attig, P. Reich-Rohrwig and A. Wojcicki, J. Organometal. Chem.. 51 (1973) C21.
- 20 R.B. Kmg. Organomekal. Syn.. 1 (1565) S3.
- R. Rlemschnelder and R. Nsrur. **hlonaisb. Ckem.. 51 (19601** 823.
- p; C.F. Koelsch and T.A. Geissnan. J. Org. Chem.. 3 (1538) 180.
- 23 R.A Pacaud and C.F.H. Allen. Org. Syn.. Coil. Vol.. 2 (1913) 336.
- 2: R.hl. Cowperand L.H. Davidson. Org. Ssn. Coil. Vol.. 2 (1543) 180.
- 25 W. Borsche and W. hlenz. Chem. Ber.. 41 (1508) 190.
- 26 G. RIO and G. Sanz. **Bull. Sot. Cbim. F:..** (1966) 3775.
- 27 **W.E. Parbam. H.E. Raff** and P. Swartzenuuber. J. Amer. Cbem. Sot.. 78 (1556) l-l3i.
- 28 hl. Reglb. J. Hacker and A. **Lleahegener. Org. SYII.. 48 (1568) 36.**
- 29 **T.S. Piper and G. Wilkinsoo. J. Inor& Nucl. Chem.. 3 (1956) 104.**
- 30 **0. Korp~un. R.A. Lewis. J. Cbickos and** K. **hllrlow.** J. .Amer. **Chem. Sot.. 90 (1968) -1842.**
- 31 K. Naumann, G. Zon and K. Mislow, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 7012.
- 32 hl. **Re@tz and A. Llecihegener. Tetrahedron. 23 (1567) 2701.**
- 33 **S.R. Su** and A. Woic~clu. J. Org3nomeral. Chem.. 27 (1971) 331.
- 34 Rules for Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry, Pure Appl. Chem., 28 (1971) 1, cf. Sect. 7. 433.
- 35 H. Brunner and J. Strutz, Z. Naturforsch, B, 29 (1974) 446.
- 36 A. Darxson and N. hlartmez. J. Organomelal. **Chem.. 74 (1974) Cl7.**
- 37 K.W. Barnett and T.G. Pollman. J. Organometal Chem., 69 (1974) 413.
- 38 J.J. Alexander, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., in press.
- 39 H. Brunner and H.-D. Schmdler, Chem. Ber., 104 (1971) 2467.
- 40 S McVev and P L. Pauson. J Chem. Soc., (1965) 4312.